

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 09/718,155</p>	<p>Applicant(s) HAYASHI, KOJI</p>	
	<p>Examiner Kimlien T Le</p>	<p>Art Unit 2653</p>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kimlien T Le.

(2) Frank Occhiuti

(3) Bill Korzuch (PTO)

(4) Yoshiyuki Nishida

(5) Masaaki Ohashi

(6) Takeo Yoshimura

Date of Interview: 8/11/04

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes
If Yes, brief description: _____

e) ☒ No.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsukihashi

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed the Tsukihashi reference. The examiner suggested specifying that the restart circuit switches the laser beam before the interrupted position is reached. A response is forthcoming.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Bill Korzuch
Examiner's signature, if required